SINGLE BENCH

03

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1604 /2005

P.R. No. 1583/05 Surguja
Presented by Shri
dated 12.04.05

PETITIONER : Dr. Shyam Sundar Agrawal, S/o Late Shrikrishna Agrawal, aged 44 years, Occupation-Professor (Commerce), R/o Professor Colony, Government P.G. College Campus, Banaras Road, Ambikapur District Surguja (C.G.)

-VERSUS-

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Mantralaya, D.K.S.Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Commissioner, Higher Education, Chhattisgarh, Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. Principal, Government P.G. College, Banaras Road, Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
12.4.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT, ORDERS OR DIRECTION.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

28

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.1604/ **सन्** 2005

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	28-4-2005 Mr. V.C. Ottalwar, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents. Heard both the counsel. The petitioner was appointed as Assistant Professor (Commerce) on ad-hoc basis on 9-11-1981 and was regularized on 4-3-1987. Increments from 9-11-1981 to 4-3-1987 are being given to the petitioner in pursuance of the State Administrative Tribunal's order passed in O.A. No.264/2000, but the seniority for this period has not been given to him. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that against non-inclusion of the period of seniority of the petitioner with effect from 9-11-1981 to 4-3-1987, the petitioner made a representation to respondents No.1 & 2, but that representation is not being decided. Learned counsel further submits that the respondents be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner, to which learned Govt. Advocate has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, as the request made is just and fair, therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation afresh along with copy of this petition to respondent No.1 within ten days from today, and in turn, respondent No.1	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

29

मामला क्रमांक W.P.1604/ **सन्** 2005

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	after considering the points raised by the petitioner shall decide the representation within two months from the date of receipt of the same, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.1247/2005 and I.A.No.3095/2005 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Soma

(पीछे देखिये)